

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,897	01/30/2004	Robert S. Coffin	117-489	5701
23117	7590 03/15/2006		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			MOSHER, MARY	
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOF ARLINGTON, VA 22203		OOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		l A live de la lace				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/766,897	COFFIN, ROBERT S.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
_		Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMASSIAN OF A STATE OF THE MAILING DAMASSIAN OF A STATE OF THE MAILING DAMASSIAN OF A STATE OF THE MAILING DAMASSIAN OF THE MAILING DAMASSIAN OF THE MAILING THE MA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	<u>ecember 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-40 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)∫	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •					
2) Notic 3) Inform	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on 12/3/02005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US 6713067 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Double Patenting

Claims 19-29 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 21-39 of U. S. Patent No. 6441817 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The instant claims are drawn to a method involving an HSV with mutations in vhs, ICP47, ICP34.5, and UL43 (elements ABCD). Patent claim 23 is drawn to a method using a virus with mutations in vhs, ICP34.5, and UL43 (elements ACD); additional mutations are not excluded. Patent claim 29 is drawn to a method using a virus with mutations in vhs, ICP47, and UL43 (elements ABD); additional mutations are not excluded. The supporting disclosure, at column 4, lines 46-64, discusses a variety of mutations that can be combined with vhs and UL43, and includes ICP34.5 and ICP47 in the list of additional mutations contemplated. Therefore the ACD and ABD patent claims cover the 4-mutation ABCD combination, and the patent disclosure reasonably includes

Art Unit: 1648

the 4-way combination now claimed. Therefore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claims 1, 2, 6-18, 30-40 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 44 of copending allowed Application No. 10/273348. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims to a method using HSV with mutated using a virus with mutations in vhs, ICP34.5, and ICP47 fully encompass the copending claim, which is drawn to a method using HSV with mutations in vhs, ICP34.5, ICP47, and ICP22. Applicant's arguments regarding the previous rejection are noted; however, this is a different rejection, based upon the new combination of mutations now claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/766,897 Page 4

Art Unit: 1648

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VARY E. MOSHER, PH.U. DRIMARY EXAMINER